

1
2
3
4
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7
8
9
10
11
12
MARK A COTTONHAM,)
Plaintiff(s),) No C 07-5968 VRW (PR)
vs.) ORDER STAYING
GEORGE C BECKWITH, et al,) PROCEEDINGS AND
Defendant(s).) ADMINISTRATIVELY
_____) CLOSING CASE
_____) (Doc # 2)
_____))
13
14
15
16
17
18

Plaintiff, a pre-trial detainee at the San Francisco County Jail, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 USC § 1983 seeking damages for allegedly unconstitutional arrest and criminal prosecution. He also seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 USC § 1915 (doc # 2), which, good cause shown, is GRANTED.

19
I
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In order to recover damages for allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment, or for other harm caused by actions whose unlawfulness would render a conviction or sentence invalid, a § 1983 plaintiff must prove that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make such determination, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus. Heck v Humphrey, 512 US 477, 486-87 (1994). A claim for damages bearing that relationship to a conviction or sentence that has not been so invalidated is not cognizable under § 1983. Id at 487.

1 The rationale of Heck applies only if there is an existing conviction.

2 Wallace v Kato, 127 S Ct 1091, 1097-98 (2007). The contention that "an action
 3 which would impugn an anticipated future conviction cannot be brought until that
 4 conviction occurs and is set aside" goes "well beyond Heck." Id at 1098
 5 (emphasis in original). Nonetheless, if a plaintiff files a § 1983 unlawful arrest
 6 claim before he or she is convicted, or files any other claim related to rulings that
 7 likely will be made in a pending or anticipated criminal trial, it is within the
 8 power, and accords with common practice, to stay the civil action until the
 9 criminal case or the likelihood of a criminal case is ended. Id.

10 A stay of these proceedings under the rationale of Wallace is in order here.
 11 If plaintiff is later convicted, and if the stayed civil suit would impugn that
 12 conviction, Heck will require dismissal; otherwise, the case may proceed. Id.¹

13 II

14 Good cause appearing therefor, the instant proceedings are STAYED and
 15 the case is ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSED. Nothing further will take place in
 16 this matter until the criminal proceedings against plaintiff come to an end, and,
 17 within 30 days thereafter, plaintiff moves to reopen the case, lift the court's stay
 18 and amend the stayed complaint.

19 SO ORDERED.

20 
 21 VAUGHN R WALKER
 22 United States District Chief Judge

23
 24

¹And to whatever extent plaintiff seeks to challenge the legality of his
 25 detention, his sole remedy is to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28
 26 USC § 2241 after exhausting state judicial remedies. See McNeely v Blanas, 336
 27 F3d 822, 824 n1 (9th Cir 2003); Carden v Montana, 626 F2d 82, 83-84 & n1 (9th
 Cir 1980). Any such claim is dismissed without prejudice.